

"THE SHORT AND THE TALL OF IT ALL"

BY PAT/SALTY

THE SHORT; NEPOLEON.
THE TALL; ABE LINCOLN.

WHO WOULD WIN?

IF, LETS SAY, BOTH WERE PUT INTO
A RING TOGETHER AT THE SAME AGE,

IN A FIGHT, WHETHER A FIST FIGHT, A
BOXING MATCH, OR WRESTLING; WHO WINS?


LINCOLN WOULD HAVE WON HANDS DOWN.
NOT EVEN CLOSE.

BOOM - FIGHT OVER, LINCOLN WINS.

BUT - AND THERE ALWAYS IS A BUT -
IF NEPOLEON & LINCOLN - THE SHORT
MAN & THE TALL MAN - WERE BOTH
GIVEN IDENTICAL SIZED & EQUIPPED
ARMYS, AND HAD AT IT THAT WAY,
NEPOLEON WOULD WIN - EVERY TIME.

SO THE TALL GUY WOULD WIN ~~THE~~
THE FIGHT BUT THE SHORT GUY
WOULD WIN THE BATTLE AND THE WAR.

NOW, FOR THE EXPLANATION OF WHY
THESE TWO OUTCOMES WOULD HAVE
BEEN ASSURED;
LINCOLN AS A YOUNG MAN WAS NOT ONLY
TALL BUT BIG AND STRONG; HE
WRESTLED OFTEN AS A YOUNG MAN



(2)

AND NEVER LOST, NOT EVEN ONCE.
AS FOR NEPOLEON, NOT ONLY WAS HE
FAR SMALLER THAN LINCOLN BUT THERE
IS NO RECORD OF HIM EVER HAVING
A PHYSICAL FIGHT, MUCH LESS NEVER LOSING
ONE.

THIS IS WHY LINCOLN WOULD HAVE EASILY
BEATEN NEPOLEON IN ANY (THEORETICAL)
WRESTLING MATCH OR FIGHT ONE ON ONE.

NOW, THE REASON WHY THE TALL
PRESIDENT WOULD HAVE GOTTEN HIS BIG
ASS KICKED BY THE SHORT EMPEROR
IN ANY (THEORETICAL) BATTLE OR WAR
(BETWEEN IDENTICALLY SIZED AND
IDENTICALLY EQUIPPED ARMYS) IS ALSO
NO LESS CLEAR-TO-ME-SALTY-AT LEAST;

- 1) LINCOLN, AS COMMANDER-IN-CHIEF,
LOST MANY BATTLES - HIS ARMY DID -
IN THE EARLY YEARS OF THE AMERICAN
CIVIL WAR - AND LOST THOSE BATTLES
EVEN THOUGH LINCOLN'S FORCES ENJOYED
A CLEARCUT NUMERICAL ADVANTAGE
AND EQUIPMENT / SUPPLY ADVANTAGE WHILE,
- 2) NEPOLEON'S FORCES WERE ONLY
BEATEN IN BATTLE ONE TIME, AT
THE VERY END, AT WATERLOO (TO AN
ALLIANCE OF ENEMYS THAT ENJOYED
A CLEARCUT MANPOWER + EQUIPMENT
ADVANTAGE) ~~I~~ I-SALTY- DO NOT COUNT
NEPOLEON'S RUSSIAN DISASTER AS THAT
HAD FAR MORE TO DO WITH THE WEATHER
IN RUSSIA THAN WITH ANY RUSSIAN ARMY →

(3)


Besting Napoleon).

SO THE RECORD ~~clearly~~ ^{clearly} PROVES
BOTH THEORETICAL CONCLUSIONS;

- A) LINCOLN WAS THE BETTER PHYSICAL FIGHTER AND
- B) NAPOLEON WAS THE BETTER STRATEGIST AND TACTICIAN.

THE ENTIRE AVAILABLE HISTORICAL RECORD PROVES THIS; ALL I DID (OR DO) IS DRAW THE OBVIOUS LOGICAL CONCLUSIONS THE RECORD ~~shows~~ ^{PROVIDES,}

THERE IS NO ROOM FOR FOOLS "DEBATES".
THERE IS NO ROOM FOR DISAGREEMENT OR COUNTER ARGUMENT - UNLESS SOMEONE COULD COME UP WITH - UNEARTH - SOME BEFORE UNKNOWN PART OF THE HISTORICAL RECORD WHICH WOULD EFFECT THESE OBVIOUS CONCLUSIONS - NOBODY HAS SO FAR. BECAUSE THE RECORD OF BOTH MEN HAS BEEN PUBLIC KNOWLEDGE TO THE PUBLIC AND TO HISTORIANS FOR 100-200 YEARS ALREADY - SO WHAT NEW INFORMATION AT THIS POINT WILL NECESSITATE SALTY HAVING TO ALTER HIS THEORETICAL CONCLUSIONS VIS A VIS LINCOLN VS. NAPOLEON?
ONE LITTLE HISTORICAL NOTE; LINCOLN WAS FINISHED OFF BY BOOTH - A MAN MUCH SHORTER THEN LINCOLN - AND



(4)

NEPOLEON WAS BEATEN - FINALLY - AT THE BATTLE OF WATERLOO BY A TALLER MAN, THE DUKE OF WELLINGTON. THIS LITTLE TIDBIT MIGHT BE CONSIDERED A LITTLE BIT OF IRONY BY SOME PEOPLE; IF SO, THOSE PEOPLE WOULD BE WRONG, AND FOR THESE REASONS:

A) LINCOLN ALMOST HAD TO BE ASSASSINATED BY A SHORTER OR SHORTER MAN BECAUSE IN HIS DAY LINCOLN WAS ~~TOO~~ TALLER THEN JUST ABOUT EVERYONE - BOOTH INCLUDED, AND,

B) NEPOLEON ALMOST HAD TO BE MILITARILY DEFEATED BY A TALL OR TALLER MAN FOR THE EXACT/REVERSE REASON (IN HIS DAY HE WAS SHORTER THEN JUST ABOUT EVERYONE - WELLINGTON INCLUDED.)

SO THAT IS THE TALL AND THE SHORT OF IT ALL ~~(OR THE TALL AND THE SHORT OF IT ALL)~~

(OR THE SHORT AND THE TALL OF IT ALL)

AT LEAST AS FAR AS LINCOLN + NEPOLEON GO.

EXCEPT FOR ONE LAST THING, SEX (AS USUAL);

TO ALL YOU FILTHY MINDS SEX OBSESSED READERS AND STUDENTS OF SALTYSIM OUT THERE (AND YOU KNOW WHO YOU ALL ARE);

LINCOLN HAD THE BIGGER..... LOG (SHALL WE SAY) BUT NEPOLEON COULD LAST LONGER. SO THERE (AND NO, YOU CAN'T LOOK THAT UP, AS IT COMES FROM SALTYS ETERNAL SOURCE ONLY) XXX